Report of the Head of Internal Audit

AUDIT COMMITTEE - 20th JANUARY 2016

INTERNAL AUDIT QUARTERLY REPORT 2015/16 QUARTER ENDED 31st DECEMBER 2015

Executive Summary

- 1. Issued reports and the Internal Audit work completed during the quarter raised three fundamental recommendations. These related to the adequacy of contract management arrangements, the effectiveness of safeguarding training relating to Taxi Drivers and a legal issue regarding the Authority's ability to meet statutory timescales for completion of Deprivation of Liberties assessments. (Para. 4.1).
- 2. The internal control assurance opinion overall remains adequate based upon the results of the work undertaken during the quarter (Para. 6.1 / Appendix 1).
- 3. Of the 41 recommendations followed-up, 14 (34%) had been implemented by the original target date and a further 21 (51%) had been implemented after the original target date. 6 (15%) recommendations remain not implemented and have received revised implementation dates by management. (Para. 4.4).
- 4. In relation to the Barnsley MBC audit plan, more days than profile have been delivered in the third quarter (Para.7.7 & Appendix 2).
- 5. Quarterly performance of the function is generally satisfactory. The PI relating to chargeable time is slightly below profile due to a higher number of leave and training days. (Para. 8.2 and 8.3 & Appendices 3 & 4).

AUDIT COMMITTEE - 20TH JANUARY 2016

INTERNAL AUDIT QUARTERLY REPORT 2015/16 QUARTER ENDED 31ST DECEMBER 2015

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 This report provides the Audit Committee with a comprehensive overview of the key activities and findings of Internal Audit based on the Division's work to the end of December 2015, being the third quarter of the 2015/16 audit year. This report provides the Audit Committee with information relevant to its responsibilities within its terms of reference (terms of reference items (a), (b), (h), (i) and (k)).

1.2 The report covers:-

- i. The issues arising from completed Internal Audit work in the quarter (section 4 and Appendix 1);
- ii. Matters that have required investigation (section 5);
- iii. An opinion on the ongoing overall assurance Internal Audit is able to provide based on the work undertaken regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's internal control environment (section 6);
- iv. Progress on the delivery of the Internal Audit Plan for the period to the end of the third quarter of 2015/16 year (section 7 and Appendix 2);
- v. Details of Internal Audit's performance for the quarter utilising performance indicators (section 8 and Appendices 3 and 4).

2. Recommendations

2.1 It is recommended that the Audit Committee:-

- i. consider the issues arising from completed Internal Audit work in the third quarter along with the responses received from management;
- ii. note the assurance opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's internal control framework based on the work of Internal Audit in the period to the end of December 2015 of the 2015/16 audit year;
- iii. note the progress against the Internal Audit plan for 2015/16 for the period to the end of December 2015; and
- iv. Consider the performance of the Internal Audit Division for the third quarter.

3. <u>Introduction / Background</u>

- 3.1 Internal Audit is a key contributor to the assurances the Audit Committee requires regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control, risk and governance environment of the Authority. That assurance is provided through planned work and responding to urgent matters and changes in priority and risk. It is important that all Internal Audit activities are undertaken with due regard to risk and the risk issues prevailing at the time.
- 3.2 In order to fulfil its responsibilities the Audit Committee needs to be satisfied that the Internal Audit Division is undertaking its work as planned, responding appropriately to client demands, operating to the required professional standards and obtaining the necessary responses from management following Internal Audit work.
- 3.3 In accordance with statutory best practice provided by the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, there is a requirement that the Head of the Internal Audit function prepares an annual report to the appropriate member body. This requirement is best supported through regular reports during the year, providing, amongst other things, ongoing assurances on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's framework of governance, risk management and control.
- 3.4 For the Authority, the appropriate member body is the Audit Committee.

4. <u>Key Issues Arising From Internal Audit Work in the Quarter Ended 31st</u> December 2015

- 4.1 Internal Audit work undertaken during the quarter identified three fundamental recommendations. These related to the adequacy of contract management arrangements, the effectiveness of safeguarding training relating to Taxi Drivers and a legal issue regarding the Authority's ability to meet statutory timescales for completion of Deprivation of Liberties assessments.
- 4.2 It should be noted, that in the process of agreeing a final report, senior officers respond to specific recommendations by identifying relevant actions and agreeing responsible managers and timescales for implementation.

Follow-Up of Report Recommendations

- 4.3 The following protocol is applied to the follow-up of recommendations in audit reports:
 - all fundamental and significant recommendations irrespective of the assurance opinion;
 - all recommendations contained within the annual core financial system audit reports and;
 - reports containing a significant number of merits attention recommendations giving rise to a negative assurance opinion.
- 4.4 <u>Table 1A</u> identifies the total number of reports analysed by the assurance opinion given and the total number of recommendations made.

<u>Table 1B</u> shows the number of recommendations followed-up in the quarter. Of the 41 recommendations followed-up, 14 (34%) had been implemented by the original target date and a further 21 (51%) had been implemented after the original target date. 6 (15%) recommendations remain not implemented and have received revised implementation dates by management.

- 4.5 Internal Audit continues to get very good co-operation from management and as such is able to closely monitor any implications that may arise from a delay in the implementation of management action. However, it should be noted that a significant number of recommendations have not been implemented until after the original target date i.e. 51%. Internal Audit is working closely with management to monitor this situation and will report to the Audit Committee should any concerns be raised due to any change of implementation date.
- 4.6 As part of the 2016/17 planning process the criteria and process in respect of the follow-up of audit report recommendations will be reviewed and the Audit Committee will receive information in this regard at a future meeting.

5. Fraud, Investigations and the Corporate Anti-Fraud Team

- 5.1 A separate report will be provided to the Audit Committee covering the detail of fraud and irregularity investigations undertaken, the preventative work and the general activities and workplan of the Corporate Anti-Fraud Team.
- 5.2 The overall assurance opinion takes into account any control issues arising from investigations or anti-fraud work. No issues are required to be brought to the Committee's attention at this time. The detailed Fraud Update report will be presented to the March meeting.

6. <u>Head of Internal Audit & Risk Management's Internal Control Assurance</u> Opinion

- 6.1 Based on the audits reported in the second quarter, an overall **adequate** assurance opinion remains appropriate. However, Audit Committee Members should note the relatively high number of fundamental recommendations and the impact on the system of internal control in those areas.
- 6.2 As referred to above, the number of audit report recommendations not implemented until after the due date at the time of follow-up was relatively high at 51%. The implementation of recommendations is monitored closely to ensure that there are no serious issues or concerns regarding the effectiveness of the control, risk and governance framework arising from the delay or non-implementation of recommendations.
- 6.3 Where control weaknesses have been identified within procedures or in the provision of advice or 'consultancy' services, these have either been resolved with management through the issue of an audit report and/or correspondence or addressed at the time of the audit.
- 6.4 It does however need to be recognised that Internal Audit coverage cannot guarantee to detect all errors, systems or control weaknesses or indeed identify all of the opportunities for improvements in management arrangements that might exist. Accordingly only reasonable and not absolute assurance is given.

- 6.5 The assurance opinion is supported by the knowledge that the underlying framework of financial and other controls, encompassing the Council's Financial Regulations, various codes of practice, procedures and other financial governance arrangements, periodically reviewed by both Internal and External Audit, are appropriate and working satisfactorily.
- 6.6 The general context and impact of the significant savings and service changes that have been implemented arising from Future Council form a core element of Internal Audit work planning to ensure that the control, risk and governance framework remains adequate and effective.

7. <u>Internal Audit Plan 2015/16 - Progress to the end of December 2015</u>

- 7.1 Internal Audit utilise a risk-informed approach to planning and delivering its work. This approach seeks to ensure that the key risks facing the Authority are considered and covered, where appropriate, by Internal Audit work. In turn the annual work programme is planned indicatively across the year. This enables quarterly monitoring of progress against planned work and the utilisation of Audit resources.
- 7.2 It is however important to recognise and appreciate that whilst a significant proportion of audit work is planned, there are many 'external' factors that can and do impact on precisely when pieces of work are actually undertaken and completed and indeed their detailed scope. For this reason the monitoring of the audit plan in each quarter can only provide an indicative picture of progress overall. Individual jobs are monitored on a job-by-job and week-by-week basis utilising the Division's computerised management system.
- 7.3 Appendix 2 shows the progress of the plan up to the end of December 2015, analysed by Directorate / Service.
- 7.4 Adjustments are made to the days allocated to particular jobs on an on-going basis and so there is naturally only a minor variance between the actual days and those planned. Given the risk basis and responsive nature of audit work, the Audit Committee should be particularly interested in the overall deployment of audit resources rather than necessarily where those resources have been spent.
- 7.5 At the beginning of the year provision is made in the allocation of audit resources for unplanned work, through a contingency. As requests for audit work are received, or more time is required for jobs or changes in priorities are identified, time is allocated from this contingency.
- 7.6 The following audit has been deferred, as agreed in conjunction with management:

Directorate / Service	Audit Assignment Title	
Public Health	Contracts with Providers.	

7.7 The position at the end of the first quarter for the audit days allocated to BMBC shows 36 days above profile (2%). In overall terms there is a shortfall of 187 days which is primarily attributable to more than budgeted days being spent on training and council wide activities. Work is currently on-going to determine priorities for the remainder of the year and the audit work which may be deferred.

8. Internal Audit Function and Performance

- 8.1 The Division uses a range of performance indicators to monitor operational efficiency. A list of the performance indicators (PIs) for 2015/16 is attached at Appendix 3.
- 8.2 The performance indicators for the third quarter are generally satisfactory at this point of the year. The chargeable time indicator is slightly below target but this is due in the main to the profile of annual leave, training days and time required to manage the audit management system during the first part of the year. This position has improved from the first quarter as anticipated.
- 8.3 The analysis of the more detailed feedback received following each audit job is shown in Appendix 4. For the third quarter of the year, at the point of preparing this report 5 feedback sheets have been received out of the 7 final reports issued. All feedback received has been noted as very good.
- 8.4 Two members of the team resigned; a Senior Auditor with effect from 31st July and an Auditor from the 31st August. A recruitment exercise to fill the Senior Auditor position did not identify a suitable replacement. Following advice from HR, a further recruitment exercise is currently being undertaken in order to fill both vacancies on a permanent basis. The closing date for applications was the 8th January 2016. The Committee will be updated as to the current position at the meeting.

9. Local Area Implications

9.1 There are no Local Area Implications arising from this report.

10. Consultations

- 10.1 All audit reports are discussed with the main auditee. Individual audit reports are provided to the appropriate Executive Director and/or Service Director to apprise him/her of key issues raised and remedial actions agreed.
- 10.2 No specific consultation has been necessary in the preparation of this quarterly report.

11. Compatibility with European Convention on Human Rights

11.1 In the conduct of audit work and investigations particularly, Internal Audit operates under the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998, the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 and the Police and Criminal Evidence Act.

12. Reduction of Crime and Disorder

12.1 An inherent aspect of audit work is to prevent, detect and investigate incidents of fraud, theft and corruption. The control issues arising from audit investigations have been considered to ensure improvements in overall controls are made. Additionally, Internal Audit ensures that in specific instances, management takes appropriate action to minimise the risks of fraud and corruption re-occurring.

13. Risk Management Considerations

- 13.1 The underlying purpose of the work of Internal Audit is to address and advise on key risks facing management and, as such, risk issues are inherent in the body of the report.
- 13.2 The Division's operational risk register includes the following risks which are relevant to this report:
 - Inappropriate use of and management of, information to inform and direct service activities;
 - Inability to provide a flexible, high performing and innovative service; and
 - Poor levels of customer satisfaction.

All of these risks have been assessed and remain within the tolerance of the Division.

An essential element of the control (and on-going) management of these risks is the provision of update reports to the Audit Committee and the assurance this provides.

14. Employee Implications

14.1 There are no employee implications arising from this report.

15. Financial Implications

15.1 There are no financial implications arising directly from this report. The costs of the Internal Audit function are included within the Authority's base budget.

16. Appendices

- 16.1 Appendix 1 Key issues arising from completed Internal Audit work
 - Appendix 2 Internal Audit Plan 2015/16 Position as at 31st December 2015
 - Appendix 3 Internal Audit Performance Indicators for the Quarter Ended 31st December 2015
 - Appendix 4 Analysis of Internal Audit feedback for the third quarter of 2015/16

17. Background Papers

17.1 Various Internal and External Audit reports, files and working papers.

Officer Contact: Head of Internal Audit

Telephone No: 01226 773241 **Date:** 8th January 2016

A: Completed Audits / Final Reports Issued During the Quarter Ending 31st December 2015

Appendix 1

KEY - Recommendations - Fundamental 'F' Significant 'S' Merits Attention 'MA'

Service / Directorate / Audit Title	Key Issues	Assurance Opinion	No. of Recs.	Date Report Issued	Other Action
Finance, Assets & Information Services: Procurement Cards	The procurement card process required improvement in order to ensure that it was efficient, excessive bank charges were avoided and VAT was accounted for correctly.	Limited	F - 0 S - 6 MA - 5	20.10.15	To follow-up the significant report recommendations
Finance, Assets & Information Services: Corporate Risk Management	The key issues raised related to the effectiveness of the corporate arrangements for managing risk in that the Governance Assurance Group and Risk Champions had not met for some time. In addition, although risk registers had been realigned to the new Council structure, the actual updating on the Risk Management System had not always been undertaken by the risk owners.	Adequate	F - 0 S - 1 MA - 3	23.11.15	To follow-up the significant report recommendation
Communities / Legal & Governance – Area Council Governance Arrangements	Sample audit testing highlighted that procedures had not been applied consistently. The key areas of non-compliance related to the evidence required to support the decision making process and the completeness of submitted applications.	Adequate	F - 0 S - 3 MA - 5	14.10.15	To follow-up the significant report recommendations
Public Health - Grant Governance Arrangements	The key issue related to the need to ensure staff roles, responsibilities and accountabilities were defined and to ensure that performance management reporting was sufficiently robust and effective.	Adequate	F - 0 S - 2 MA - 9	20.11.15	To follow-up the significant report recommendations
Place: Environment & Transport – Home to School Transport	The key issue related to the adequacy of the contract management arrangements in that one of the three Home to School Transport Contracts had expired. In addition, contract compliance monitoring arrangements had not yet been established. The results of sample audit testing reinforced the need for such checks to be undertaken.	Limited	F - 1 S - 5 MA - 2	27.11.15	To follow-up the fundamental and significant report recommendations
Place: Taxi and Premises Licensing Arrangements	The key issue arising from the review related to the absence of an effective programme of safeguarding training in order to ensure that service users, Members and officers can recognise safeguarding issues and be aware of how to report concerns.	Limited	F - 1 S - 3 MA - 2	17.08.15	To follow-up the fundamental and significant report recommendations

Service / Directorate / Audit Title	Key Issues	Assurance Opinion	No. of Recs.	Date Report Issued	Other Action
People: Safeguarding - Deprivation of Liberties	The audit identified shortfalls in relation to compliance with the established processes and controls along with a backlog of standard authorisation requests. In addition, DoLS assessments had not been completed within the required timescales and consequently failed to meet its legal responsibilities.		F - 1 S - 6 MA - 2	21.10.15	To follow-up the fundamental and significant report recommendations

Other Completed Work Not P	roducing a specific Assurance Opinion		
People: VAT	Advice provided regarding VAT arrangements at Darton College.		
HR: Partnership Governance	Advice / comments provided in respect of the draft Partnership Governance Statement of Good Practice.		
Communications: Printing	Advice provided to the Printing Team with regard to the design (including paper type i.e. NCR) and use of a single receipt book moving forward.		
Finance: Assessed List for Care Providers	Advice provided to Strategic Commissioning and Procurement Unit with regard to the advertising arrangements in respect of the assessed list.		
Finance: Procurement Cards	Advice provided to Commercial Services with regard to School's administering their own procurement cards.		
Finance: Invoice Processing	Advice provided to the Invoice Processing Team to strengthen the overall control environment following the completion of the Creditor Payments Data Matching Exercise (NFI).		
Finance: Procurement	Provided input and advice to the review of the YORtender system implementation plan for Version 3.		
Place: Disposal of Assets	Advice provided to the Town Centre Operations Manager re process to be applied for the sale of a redundant asset.		
Communities: Blue Badge System	Advice provided in respect of the certification of identity checks.		
Finance: Electronic Leave System	Attendance at project group meetings in respect of the implementation of an electronic leave management system.		
People: Moorland Plastics	Advice provided in respect of the decommissioning arrangements.		
Final Accounts	A total of 8 final accounts submitted by NPS Barnsley Ltd have been audited and returned in order for the final certificates to be issued or with queries that need to be resolved.		

Other Work Undertaken	
Follow-up of	Regular work undertaken to follow-up recommendations made.
Recommendations	
Attendance at Steering /	Information Governance Board, Contract Procedure Rules Working Group.
Working Group	
Liaison, Planning and	Meeting and corresponding with Service and Executive Directors and Heads of Service regarding progress of audit work, future planning and
Feedback	general client liaison.
Advice	General advice to services regarding controls, risk or governance matters. Such work often does not require formal reporting but
	occasionally will escalate into a specific piece of audit work for which a new job will be created.
Audit Committee Support	Time taken in the preparation of audit committee reports, audit committee member training, general support and development.
Corporate Whistleblowing	General time taken in providing advice and the initial consideration of matters raised. Also includes the review of arrangements.
Corporate Matters	Covering time required to meet corporate requirements, i.e. corporate document management, accommodation moves, service business
	continuity and health and safety.

Summary Activity

All Audit Reports

Assurance Opinion	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Cumulative
Substantial	2 (24%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		2 (8%)
Adequate	3 (38%)	4 (40%)	3 (43%)		10 (40%)
Limited	3 (38%)	6 (60%)	4 (57%)		13 (52%)
None	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0 (0%)
TOTAL REPORTS	8	10	7		25
Opinion Not Applicable	9	10	12		31

Total Recommendations

Number of Recommendations	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Cumulative
Fundamental	2 (4%)	3 (5%)	3 (5%)		8 (5%)
Significant	29 (58%)	25 (47%)	26 (46%)		80 (50%)
Merits Attention	19 (38%)	26 (48%)	28 (49%)		73 (45%)
TOTAL	50	54	57		161

Recommendations Followed-up by Internal Audit

Quarter 1					
Recommendation Classification	Followed-up	Completed by due date	Completed after target date	Not yet completed – Revised date agreed	
Fundamental	3	2	0	1	
Significant	7	5	2	0	
Merits Attention	4	0	3	1	
TOTAL	14	7	5	2	

Quarter 2					
Recommendation Classification	Followed-up	Completed by due date	Completed after target date	Not yet completed – Revised date agreed	
Fundamental	1	0	1	0	
Significant	15	12	2	1	
Merits Attention	5	1	3	1	
TOTAL	21	13	6	2	

Quarter 3						
Recommendation Classification	Followed-up	Completed by due date	Completed after target date	Not yet completed – Revised date agreed		
Fundamental	4	0	4	0		
Significant	32	12	15	5		
Merits Attention	5	2	2	1		
TOTAL	41	14	21	6		

Trend Analysis - Third Quarter 2015/16

Assurance Opinions

Substantial
Adequate
Limited
None

2014/15					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
%	%	%	%		
	•				

%	%	%	%
64	13	50	0
36	62	25	100
0	25	25	0
0	0	0	0

100

100

100

2015/16				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
%	%	%	%	
76 76 76 76				

38 60 0 0	57	
1		
30 +0	73	
38 40	43	
24 0	0	

Cumulative		
2014/15 2015/16		
%	%	

44	8
44	40
12	52
0	0
100	100

Implementation of Recommendations

2014/15			
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
No.	No.	No.	No.

Completed by target date	2	2	2	6
Completed after target date	4	6	4	3
Not yet completed–Revised date agreed	0	11	4	0
Total followed up	6	19	10	9

100

% Completed by Original Target Date	34%	11%	20%	68%
% Completed at time of Follow-up	100%	42%	60%	100%

2015/16				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
No.	No.	No.	No.	

7	13	14	
5	6	21	
2	2	6	
14	21	41	

50%	62%	34%	
86%	90%	86%	

Cumulative		
2014/15	2015/16	
%	%	

27	45
39	42
34	13
100	100

Appendix 2 INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN 2015/16 – Position as at 31st December 2015

Directorate	Original 2015/16 Plan	Revised 2015/16 Plan	Actual Days
Communities	65	51	39
People	175	180	126
Place	112	134	142
Public Health	39	20	20
Corporate Services:	·		
HR, Performance & Communications	80	57	39
▶ Legal & Governance	77	124	86
➤ Finance, Assets & Information Services	469	461	366
Council Wide	220	285	225
Contingency	80	26	N/A
Berneslai Homes	133	133	82
Sub Total	1,450	1,450	813
	·		
Corporate Anti-Fraud Unit	580	559	434
Sub Total	2,030	2,030	1,559
	•	Profile	1,523
		Variance	+36
External Clients:-			
South Yorkshire Police Chief Constable	472	472	271
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	259	259	81
South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority	284	284	196
Sheffield City Region Combined Authority	60	60	44
South Yorkshire Passenger Transport Executive	200	200	170
South Yorkshire Pensions Authority	273	273	176
Sub Total	1,548	1,548	938
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	1
Total Chargeable Planned Days	3,578	3,578	2,497

INTERNAL AUDIT PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR 2015/16

Ref.	Indicator	Frequency of Report	Target 2015/16	This Period	Year to Date
1.	Customer Perspective:				
1.1	Percentage of questionnaire received noted "good" or "very good" relating to work concluding with an audit report. (Cumulative 12 very good, 3 good, 1 acceptable and none poor)	Quarterly	95%	100%	94%
2.	Business Process Perspective:				
2.1	Percentage of final audit reports issued within 10 working days of completion and agreement of the draft audit report. (Cumulative 24/25)	Quarterly	80%	100%	96%
2.2	Percentage of chargeable time against total available.	Quarterly	73%	71%	69%
2.3	Average number of days lost through sickness per FTE (Cumulative 15 days in total)	Quarterly	6 days	<1 day	<1 day
3.	Continuous Improvement Perspective:	Annual	100%	100%	100%
3.1	Personal development plans for staff completed within the prescribed timetable.	Allilual	100 /6	100%	100%
4.	Financial Perspective:	Quarterly	lv Within	Within	Within
4.1	Total Internal Audit costs v budget.	Quarterry	Budget	Budget	Budget

Performance Indicator Definitions and Supporting Information

PI Ref	Indicator	Comments
1.1	Percentage of favourable auditee questionnaire responses received (noted "good" or "very good") relating to work concluding with an audit report.	Questionnaires are left at the end on each audit job resulting in a formal report. The questionnaire asks 14 specific questions covering the effectiveness of audit planning, communication, timing and quality of the audit report. An overall assessment is sought as to the overall value of the audit. This is the answer used for this PI. All questionnaires are analysed in detail to ensure all aspects of the audit process are monitored and improved.
2.1	Percentage of final audit reports issued within 10 working days of completion and agreement of the draft audit report.	This is an operational PI to ensure the timely issue of final reports. This PI is influenced by the availability of senior Internal Audit staff to clear the report and any issues the Division's quality assessment process highlights along with the availability of the auditee.
2.2	Percentage of chargeable time against total available.	A key operational measure of the 'productivity' of Audit staff taking into account allowances for administration, general management, training and other absences.
		This PI will reflect the % chargeable time of staff in post, net of vacancies.
2.3	Average number of days lost through sickness per FTE.	A corporate PI to measure the effectiveness of good absence / attendance management.
3.1	Personal development plans for staff completed within the prescribed timetable.	IA place a high level of importance on staff training and continuous development and are committed to ensure all staff have their own training plans derived from the personal development plan process.
4.1	Total Internal Audit costs v budget.	This is a simple overall measure to note whether the Division's expenditure for the year has been kept within the budget.

Appendix 4

Analysis of Internal Audit Feedback Received in the Third Quarter of 2015/16

Number of ticks shown against each question	
---	--

		Very Good	Good	Acceptable	Poor
Α	Audit Planning				
1	Relevance of the audit objectives	(9) 4	(7) 1	(0) 0	(0) 0
<u> </u>	Relevance of the addit objectives	(9) 4	(7) 1	(0) 0	(0) 0
В	Communication				
1	Consultation on scope and objectives of the audit	(11) 5	(5) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0
2	Communication during all aspects of the audit	(10) 3	(6) 2	(0) 0	(0) 0
3	Helpfulness co-operation of the auditor(s)	(15) 5	(2) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0
4	Professionalism of the auditor(s)	(14) 5	(2) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0
5	The auditor(s) demonstrated an appreciation of any relevant issues concerning equality and diversity	(10) 4	(1) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0
С	Timing				
1	Duration of the audit	(7) 3	(9) 2	(0) 0	(0) 0
2	Timeliness of the audit report	(9) 4	(6) 1	(1) 0	(0) 0
D	Quality of the audit report				
1	Format and clarity of audit report	(11) 4	(5) 1	(0) 0	(0) 0
2	Accuracy of the findings	(8) 3	(7) 2	(0) 0	(0) 0
3	Relevance of recommendations	(7) 3	(6) 2	(1) 0	(0) 0
4	Overall quality of the report	(9) 4	(5) 1	(0) 0	(0) 0
E	Value of the audit				
1	Basic controls assurance the audit has provided	(11) 4	(4) 1	(1) 0	(0) 0
2	Added value given beyond basic controls assurance	(10) 5	(4) 0	(1) 0	(0) 0
3	Overall value of the audit	(12) 5	(3) 0	(1) 0	(0) 0
		100%			
		<u> </u>		T T	
	Total Number of 'ticks' (A – E)	153	74	5	0
	Percentage	66%	32%	2%	0%
		98%		2%	

Returned Questionnaires:-

 Quarter 1
 6

 Quarter 2
 5

 Quarter 3
 5

 Quarter 4
 Total

 16

18

Auditee Comments (where given) received in the quarter:

A very well prepared Audit, but as other issues are highlighted it may need revisiting to ensure best practice and ongoing best value is achieved and the home to school travel is a fit for purpose service.

There was a delay to the audit process due to unforeseen circumstances.

I enjoyed working with (Name of auditor) she was very professional but also very friendly and approachable throughout the audit process.
